

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/645,756	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MONAHAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1643	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

 (2) Maria Laccotripe Zacharakis, Ph.D., J.D.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 20 September 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection over claim 4 of copending Application 11/510,350*

Claims discussed:

*1, 5-9, 11-21, and 50-56*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Dr. Zacharakis to comment that the amendment filed August 8, 2007, appears to have placed this application in conditon for allowance but for the issue of the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection over claim 4 of copending Application 11/510,350. The Examiner asked if Applicant had decided to file the terminal disclaimer, or resolve this issue by other means. Dr. Zacharakis informed the Examiner that Applicant will amend claim 4 in the copending application to resolve this remaining issue, instead of filing a terminal disclaimer. The amendment to claim 4 of the copending application was filed on September 24, 2007, via the Express Mail procedure and a courtesy copy of this amendment was provided for the Examiner's review. Agreeably the amendment to claim 4 of the copending application has resolved this last remaining issue.